

Workplace Environment Self-Assessment Checklist

Workplace Environment Self-Assessment Checklist



Workplace Safety North (WSN) is the health and safety association serving underground and surface mines, tunneling, smelters, refineries and related sectors in Ontario. We provide auditing and consulting services, training and information to help our member companies meet our shared vision of an industry where every worker comes home safe and healthy, every day.

The information contained in this manual is for general educational and informative purposes only. WSN makes no representation, express or implied, with regard to the accuracy, reliability or completeness of this information, and cannot accept any legal responsibility or liability for any errors or omissions.

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in an electronic database or transmitted in any form by any means, electronic, photocopying, recording or otherwise without prior written permission of WSN.

P.O. Box 2050, Stn. Main, 690 McKeown Avenue, North Bay, Ontario, Canada P1B 9P1 1 888 730 7821 (Ontario only) 705 474 7233 workplacesafetynorth.ca

WSN © 2015

Table of Contents

FC	REV	WORD		iii
1.	PH	YSICA	L HAZARDS	1
	1.1	NOIS	E	1
	1.2	IONIZ	ZING RADIATION	6
	1.3	RADO	ON	10
	1.4	NON-	-IONIZING RADIATION	13
	1.5	HEAT	T STRESS	14
	1.6	COLI	D ENVIRONMENTS	17
	1.7	VIBR	ATION	20
	1.8	MUS	CULOSKELETAL DISORDERS (MSDs)	23
2.	BIC	LOGI	CAL HAZARDS	27
	2.1	BIOL	OGICAL AGENTS	27
	2.2	BACT	TERIA, VIRUSES AND FUNGI	30
3.	CH	EMIC	AL HAZARDS	33
	3.1	CHE	MICAL AGENTS INCLUDING MINE GASES	33
	3.2	SPEC	CIFIC EXAMPLES FOR CHEMICAL AGENTS	37
		3.2.1	Styrene	37
		3.2.2	Welding Fumes	40
		3.2.3	Chromium (VI)	44
	3.3	DESI	GNATED SUBSTANCES	47
	3.4	DIES	EL PARTICULATE MATTER	51
4.	AU	XILIA	RY MINE VENTILATION	54
5.	WO	RKIN	G ON SURFACE AND WILDLIFE HAZARDS	60
	5.1	WEST	T NILE VIRUS (WNV)	60
	5.2	TICK	BITES AND LYME DISEASE	62
6.	WH	MIS 2	015	64

FOREWORD

Occupational health is a growing concern in the mining industry and WSN would like to thank the Workplace Environment Committee for initiating a review and update of the Workplace Environment Checklist.

The checklist is designed to capture a point-in-time picture of industrial hygiene programs at a worksite. It can be used by supervisors, Joint Health and Safety Committees or health and safety departments. The checklist can be used anytime, but it is recommended to revisit the checklist if a new operation sets up or significant changes occur in operations or procedures.

The document is divided into categories based on common worksite health hazards. References to legislation and work practices are provided within the checklist. If you have any questions regarding programs or legislation, feel free to contact a WSN Health and Safety Specialist for more information.

WSN's third edition of the Workplace Environment Checklist represents current revisions on the subject of workplace environment worksheets. It is a valuable reference for those who desire to improve the health and safety of their workplace. WSN would like to thank the contributions of previous members of WSN's Workplace Environment Technical Advisory Committee, and to current committee members in making this new edition possible.

1. Workplace Environment Committee members (as of December 31, 2014):

Lise Sauvé-Gingras (Chair) Glencore - Sudbury Integrated Nickel Operations Vale - North Atlantic Base Metals Ontario Operations Anthony Chevrier (Vice-Chair)

Jason Chevrier KGHM International Ltd. - Sudbury Operations, Boart Longyear Canada - Eastern Zone Brian Maeck

First Nickel Inc. - Lockerby Mine Judit Nelson Glencore - Sudbury Smelter Operations Laura Mucklow

Ontario Ministry of Labour Jamie Cresswell (Technical Advisor)

Euler De Souza (Technical Advisor) Queen's University - The Robert M. Buchan Department

of Mining

Laurentian University Alison Godwin (Technical Advisor)

CANMET Mining - Sudbury Stephen Hardcastle (Technical Advisor) Philip Dirige (Coordinator)

Workplace Safety North

1. PHYSICAL HAZARDS

1.1 NOISE

COMPANY SITE/OPERA			ATION	
1.	Has a noise survey been con worker exposure to high no	iducted to assess the likelihood of ise levels?	YES □ NO □	
		urvey should include all work areas where it is all speech level within a distance of one metre.	If no, see box 1(a)	
2.	Were all noise levels measur	YES NO IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII		
3.	List the locations where noi			
_	LOCATION	RANGE (dBA)	AVG. (dBA)	
_				
_		useful to quickly identify the areas with the high	nest noise levels. A	

4.	Has any monitoring been conducted to evaluate the $L_{\text{ex,8}}$ of the workers by occupation? The term " $L_{\text{ex,8}}$ " refers to the eighthour equivalent of noise exposure experienced by the worker.					YES NO	
	$4(a)$ - A program should be in place to assess the $L_{\rm ex,8}$ of all job tasks. This may be accomplished either with the use of an approved noise dosimeter or by logging the individual's movements and calculating his/her exposure based on length of time spent in each area.				If no, see box $4(a)$ If work shift is longer than eight hours (> $L_{ex,8}$), go to question 7.		
5.		_	licate that workers v n excess of 85 dBA?	vould not experie	nce	YES □ NO □	
	$5(a)$ - Section 293.1 exposed to sound let shift, $(L_{ex,8})$.	If yes, stop here If no, see box 5(a)					
	For work shift longe limit should be pro-		hours (e.g., 10 hrs, 10.5 h	ers or 12 hrs), the expo	osure		
	CCUPATION CCUPATION		osures measured for RANGE (dBA)	AVG. (dBA)	ME	ASUREMENT (C/D)*	
7.					YES NO I		

	Did the monitoring in higher dBA exposures extended work shift?		_		YES NO III yes, stop here
	8(a) - Workers must not be adjusted dBA determined fo eight hours, weighted exposwork shifts time.	r the extended work shift. I	For work shifts longer	than	If no, see box 8(a)
	Weighted exposure levels fo equations:	r extended work shifts can	be adjusted using the		
	Daily adjustment formula:				
	Adjusted Threshold Leve	$l \ Value \ (TLV) = 8 - hr \ T$	$WA \times \frac{8}{h}$		
	Where, h is the number of h	ours worked per day			
	Weekly adjustment formula:				
	Adjusted Threshold Leve	d Threshold Level Value (TLV) = 8 - hr TWA x $\frac{40}{h}$			
	Where, h is the number of hours worked per week				
	Where, h is the number of h	ours worked per week			
<u> </u>	Where, h is the number of h		es measured for ea	ach oc	cupation:
			es measured for ea		cupation: ASUREMENT (C/D)*
	List the extended wor	k shift noise exposure			
	List the extended wor	k shift noise exposure			
	List the extended wor	k shift noise exposure			
	List the extended wor	k shift noise exposure			
	List the extended wor	k shift noise exposure			
	List the extended wor	k shift noise exposure			

10. Has a written hearing conservation program been prepared for this plant or operation?	YES □ NO □	
10(a) - A written hearing conservation program should be prepared and implemented. The program should address noise measurement, engineering and administrative controls, personal hearing protection and audiometry.	If no, see box 10(a)	
Resource: Establishing a Noise Control Program in the Workplace, WSIB.		
11. Have all protective measures reasonably necessary in the circumstances to protect workers from exposure to hazardous sound levels been carried out, including the provision and use of engineering controls and work practices?	YES NO	
11(a) - Section 293.1 (3) of Regulation 854 states that every employer shall take all measures reasonably necessary in the circumstances to protect workers from exposure to hazardous sound levels, and (4) states that protective measures shall include the provision and use of engineering controls, work practices and, subject to subsection (7), personal protective equipment.	If no, see box 11(a)	
12. Is personal hearing protection made available to all employees who are at risk of exposure to $L_{\text{ex,8}}$ noise levels in excess of 85 dBA or to noise levels in excess of the adjusted TLV for extended work shifts?	YES NO	
12(a) - Ensure that the rated and actual attenuation of the protective equipment is adequate for the maximum levels of noise encountered as defined by your company's policy and procedures.	If yes, see box 12(a)	
12(b) - Ensure that appropriate hearing protection is made available to all employees who require it. Note: Double hearing protection should be worn when noise levels exceed 105 dBA.	If no, see box 12(b)	
13. Are workers aware of ototoxic chemicals in the workplace, and how to take precautions when working with or near ototoxic chemicals?	YES NO	
13(a) - Workers must be aware of workplace hazards. Ototoxic chemicals such as styrene, toluene, xylene, carbon monoxide, lead and manganese are known to be toxic to the organs of the ear.	If no, see box 10(a)	

14. Are all entrances or equipment with high noise levels (i.e. >85dBA) posted with suitable signs stating the noise level and reminding employees of the type of hearing protection (single or double) that is required?	YES NO NO		
14(a) - A clearly visible warning sign must be posted at every approach to a high noise area. Employees should be trained in the proper use of personal protective equipment.	If no, see box 11(a)		
15. Are employees who are exposed to noise hazards at this site/ operation included in an established audiometry program?	YES NO NO		
15(a) - An audiometry program, including provisions for pre-employment and periodic audiometric testing and evaluation should be in place.	If no, see box 12(a)		
Checklist completed by:			
NAME TITLE			
DATE			
References:			
Regulation 854 (Mines and Mining Plants), Section 293.1, as amended by Ontario Regulation 34/14, R.R.O. 1990			
Health and Safety Ontario - Hearing Conservation, Workplace Safety and Prevention Services (WSPS)			
Ontario Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA) R.R.O 1980			
Establishing a Noise Control Program in the Workplace, Workplace Safet	y and Insurance Board		

1.2 IONIZING RADIATION

CO	OMPANY	ATION	
1.	Does the site/operation have any radiation? This may include un gauges, x-ray fluorescence analy equipment (such as bin measure to check welding work, static elements).	YES NO III	
2.	List all equipment that emits io	nizing radiation:	
	EQUIPMENT TYPE	USE	LOCATION
_			
_			
_			
_			
3.	Does any of the equipment liste	d in (2) contain a radioisatana?	
٥.	Note: Equipment containing a radioacti	ive isotope of an element is federally	YES NO
	regulated, while x-ray equipment is prov	If no, go to question 10	
4.	Is a Radioisotope License requi Control Act, for the equipment	YES □ NO □	
		If no, go to question 10	

5.	5. List the Radioisotope licenses applicable to this site/operation:			
I -	LICENCE NUMBER/RENEWAL DATE DESCRIPTION	N/APPLICATION		
6.	Is a copy of each license posted in a conspicuous location?			
	6(a) - Required under the Nuclear Safety and Control Act.	YES NO III		
7.	Is a current inventory of all equipment containing radioisotopes (in quantities requiring licensing) available? 7(a) - Part of the records required under the Nuclear Safety and Control Act.	YES NO III		
8.	Are leak tests performed on each sealed unit, at a frequency specified in the conditions of the license(s)? 8(a) - Requirement for maintenance of license.	YES NO III		
9.	Are clearly visible and legible signs, providing the name and number of a contact person or department, located on, or near, all devices containing radioactive prescribed substances?	YES NO III		
10	9(a) - Requirement for maintenance of license. Are x-ray machines present or used at this site?	YES NO II If no, go to question 16		
11	Is the employer who has possession of the x-ray source registered with the Director of the Special Studies and Services Branch of the Ontario Ministry of Labour (MOL)? 11(a) - Regulatory requirement.	YES NO NO If no, see box 11(a)		

12. Is a current inventory of all x-ray sources at the site/operation available? 12(a) - Having an inventory of all x-ray sources is required by law.	YES NO III
12(a) - Having an inventory of all x-ray sources is required by law.	(1.7)
13. Are all areas, rooms, or enclosures where x-ray equipment is used, or where radioactive isotopes are present appropriately marked with durable signs?	YES NO III no, see box 13(a)
13(a) - Refer to the applicable regulations.	
14. Are the risk of atomic radiation and/or x-ray workers exposure assessed based on the radiation sources in the workplace and based on the risk of exceeding the effective/equivalent dose limits set-out by the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC)?	YES NO III
14(a) - This is a regulatory requirement, consult appropriate regulation(s).	
15. Are all atomic radiation workers and/or x-ray workers provided with suitable personal dosimeters to provide an accurate measure of the dose equivalent received by the worker?	YES NO III
15(a) - This is a regulatory requirement, consult appropriate regulation(s).	
16. Is a management system in place to address the personal dosimetry (e.g. Worker notification of results, record retention, etc.)?	YES NO I
16(a) - This is a regulatory requirement, consult appropriate regulation(s).	11 110, Sec 50x 10(a)
17. Are written training programs in place for atomic radiation workers and/or x-ray workers?	YES NO NO
17(a) - This is a regulatory requirement, consult appropriate regulation(s). Training should be provided to all workers working near or with radiation sources.	If no, see box 17(a)

Checklist completed by:				
NAME	TITLE			
DATE				
References:				

FEDERAL

Nuclear Safety and Control Act (NSC Act) 2000

Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission (CNSC).

Transport Packaging of Radioactive Materials Regulations

ONTARIO

Regulations for Mines and Mining Plants, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 854, Sections 288-293 Regulations Respecting X-Ray Safety R.R.O 1990, Regulation 861

1.3 *RADON* (Underground Mining Only)

COMPANY SITE/OPERA		ATION
1.	Has your worksite been tested for radon progeny by a competent person?	yes □ NO □
	1(a) - This is required by Section 289 (4) of Regulation 854 (Mines and Mining Plants).	If no, see box 1(a)
2.	Is additional radon progeny sampling done whenever: (a) a mine is reopened; (b) within six months after the commencement of a new mine; (c) an inflow of water is established or (d) a breakthrough of active workings occurs into an abandoned, inactive or active mining area?	YES □ NO □
	2(a) - Sampling whenever a mine is opened and within six months of excavation is required by law under Section 289 (2) of Regulation 854. It is recommended to complete additional testing for breakthroughs and new inflows of water.	If no, see box 2(a)
3.	Does the Joint Health and Safety Committee have a copy of the radon progeny test results	YES □ NO □
	3(a) - This is required by law under Section 289 (5) of Regulation 854.	If no, see box 3(a)
4.	Are the results of all testing posted in a conspicuous place for at least 14 days for workers to see?	YES □ NO □
	4(a) - This is required by law under Section 289(5) of Regulation 854.	If no, see box 4(a)
5.	Are results of the testing kept on file by the company?	yes □ NO □
	5(a) - This is required by law under Section 289(6) of Regulation 854.	If no, see box 5(a)

6.	From the site sampling, was the concentration of radon progeny in any area:				
	□ less than 0.03 WL? If yes, go to question 13. □ Between 0.03 - 0.06 WL? If yes, go to question 10 □ Between 0.061 - 0.10 WL? If yes, go to question 9 □ Greater than 0.10 WL? If yes, go to question 7 NOTE: If a concentration of greater than 0.33 WL is recorded the mine must immediately remove all workers from the affected area (Section 291 of Regulation 854) and implement the measures and procedures required by Section 255 (1) of Regulation 854.				
7.	Is the workplace retested for radon progeny at least once a month?	YES NO			
	7(a) - This is required by Section 289(3)(a) of Regulation 854.	If no, see box 7(a)			
8.	Have written descriptions of work practices been developed with the JHSC (or health and safety rep) for areas with radon progeny greater than 0.10 WL?	YES NO I			
	8(a) - This is recommended by WSN, proceed to question 10.	If yes, go to question 10			
9.	Is the workplace sampled for radon progeny at least every three months?	YES NO NO			
	9(a) - This is required by Section 289(3)(b)of Regulation 854.	If no, see box 9(a)			
10.	Is corrective action taken to reduce levels (if possible) and is the area resampled after corrections are made?	YES NO			
	10(a) - Resampling is recommended to ensure corrective actions were effective.	If no, see box 10(a)			
11.	Are locations with potential worker exposure to radon gas clearly identified?	YES NO NO			
	11(a) - This is required by law.	If no, see box 11(a)			

12. Is worker exposure to areas with radon gas limited? 12(a) - Ministry of Labour (MOL) guidelines outline the radon levels that worker can be exposed to.	YES NO III
13. Does a competent person assess at least once a year whether to retest the air for radon progeny by taking into account previou test results and changes in the mine and mining operations? Is this done in consultation with the JHSC?	IS YES I NO I
13(a) - This is required by Section 289(4)of Regulation 854.	
Checklist completed by:	
NAME TITLE DATE	
References Mines and Mining Plants, R.R.O 1990 Regulation 854, Section 287-29	93 Regulation 583/91,58

1.4 NON-IONIZING RADIATION

COMPANY SITE/OPER		RATION
1.	Have all possible sources of non-ionizing radiation such as ultraviolet radiation (including exposure to sun, tanning beds, black lights, etc.), visible (white light) radiation, infrared radiation, and electromagnetic fields (EMFs) radiations (including microwave, radio waves, radar, radiation produced by electric transmission, wired and wireless electronic equipment, etc.) been identified? 1(a) - Required by the Occupation Health and Safety Act (OHSA).	YES NO III
2.	Have sources of non-ionizing radiation been evaluated by a competent person?	YES NO
	2(a) - Required by the Occupation Health and Safety Act (OHSA).	If no, see box 2(a).
3.	Have workers been aware of the hazards of non-ionizing radiation and how to protect themselves? Are training records kept and stored by the company?	YES NO
	3(a) - Required by the Occupation Health and Safety Act (OHSA).	If no, see box 3(a).
4.	Are workers with medical conditions that may be affected by ionizing radiation (pacemakers, metallic implants) identified and evaluated? Is their exposure monitored?	YES NO
	4(a) - Required by the Occupation Health and Safety Act (OHSA).	If no, see box 4(a).
Ch	ecklist completed by:	
	AME TITLE ATE	
	oferences: OSH, Non-Ionizing Radiation: Self-Inspection Checklist	

1.5 HEAT STRESS

COMPANY SITE/OPER		RATION	
1.		es encounter high temperature, humidity, radiant as of low air flow while performing physical work	YES NO I
2.	Are workers	s required to work at a high metabolic rate?	YES NO
	2(a) - Example. Categories Light Moderate Heavy Very heavy	Example activities Sitting with moderate arm and leg movements Standing, working with arms in light lifting, turning Using small power tools Walking slowly on level surface carrying minimal weight Rapid and/or forceful arm movements Walking with moderate lifting or pushing Walking 6 km/hr on level surface carrying 3 kg load Hand sawing, Shoveling light material Heavy whole body motions Intermittent heavy lifting or working with hands above head Walking slowly up steep grades Shoveling heavy material, near continuous heavy lifting Walking 6 km/hr up grades and/or carrying heavy load	If no, see box 2(a)
3.	impermeabl 3(a) - Thermall	s required to wear multiple layers of clothing or e clothing that may restrict heat loss? y insulating clothing, multiple layers of clothing and the severely restrict heat removal.	YES NO I
4.	Does your si	te or company have a program to manage heat	YES NO III
	notices, and spe based on the te	ress response plan indicates when to post heat stress alert ecifies the amount of water and rest time that should be provided mperature. Humidity must be taken into account to gather egarding workplace temperature.	

5.	Are workers trained in identifying signs of heat-related illness and heat stress?	YES NO III
	5(a) - Workers should be trained to identify signs and symptoms of heat illnesses. Heat illnesses become progressively worse with more exposure. Recognizing signs and symptoms early can prevent more complicated heat illnesses such as heat stroke from developing.	
	5(b) - The most common signs and symptoms of heat exhaustion include: Confusion, dark-colored urine (a sign of dehydration), dizziness, fainting, fatigue, headache, muscle or abdominal cramps, nausea, vomiting or diarrhea.	
6.	Do you have a method to monitor heat exposure in your workplace?	YES □ NO □
	6(a) - The Occupational Health and Safety Council of Ontario has published a Heat Stress Prevention Guideline which provides information on how to monitor heat exposure.	If no, see box 6(a)
7.	Is a heat stress control plan (developed in consultation with the JHSC) in place to prevent workers from exposure to heat-stress-related illness?	yes □ NO □
	7(a) - An effective heat stress control plan will prevent workers from suffering heat-stress-related illnesses.	If no, see box 7(a)
	Resource: Heat Stress - Ministry of Labour (MOL) Health and Safety Guidelines.	
8.	Does your company have a program for controlling worker exposure to heat-related illnesses such as hydration stations, self-regulation or co-worker observation to monitor for heat-related illnesses?	yes □ NO □
	8(a) - Employees should be provided with hydration breaks in high-risk situations and trained to be able to identify signs of heat stress within themselves. A co-worker observation system assigns workers to be responsible for each other. This is especially useful for heat illnesses as signs and symptoms are often easier for people to recognize in others then in themselves.	If no, see box 8(a)

Checklist completed by:

NAME TITLE

DATE

References:

Beat the Heat: A Pocketbook Guide to Heat Stress and Strain, WSN

Heat Stress Awareness Guideline, Occupational Health and Safety Council of Ontario, WSIB 2007

Heat Stress - Ministry of Labour (MOL) Health and Safety Guidelines, 2014

1.6 COLD ENVIRONMENTS

CO	OMPANY SITE/OPER	ATION
1.	Are workers exposed to cold environments while performing physical work activity?	YES NO III
2.	Does your site or company have a program to manage cold stress?	
	2(a) - A cold environment challenges the worker in three ways: by air temperature, air movement (wind speed), and humidity (wetness). A program to counterbalance these challenges and ensure workers work safely should be developed, such as the use of work clothes with proper insulation (layered protective clothing), by doing physical activity and by controlling exposure to cold (work warm-up schedule).	YES NO III
	Resource: Cold Environments - Working in colds, Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS).	
3.	Are workers trained in identifying signs and symptoms of illnesses associated with exposure to cold environments?	
	3(a) - Workers should be trained to identify signs and symptoms of the effects of exposure to cold environments. The effects of cold stress become progressively worse with more exposure. Recognizing signs and symptoms early can prevent more complicated illnesses associated with exposure to cold environments, such as hypothermia or dangerous overcooling of the body, from developing.	YES NO IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
	3(b) - Warning signs of hypothermia can include complaints of nausea, fatigue, dizziness, irritability or euphoria. Workers can also experience pain in their extremities (hands, feet, ears, etc.), and severe shivering.	
	Resource: the Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS) Cold Environments - Working in cold, and the Ministry of Labour (MOL) Guideline No. 33: Working In Extreme Temperature Conditions / Safety Guidelines for the Film and Television Industry in Ontario	

4.	Do you have a method to monitor cold temperature exposure in your workplace?	YES NO NO
	4(a) - The Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS) published on its website Cold Environments – Working in the Cold, which provides information on working in cold environment.	If no, see box 4(a)
	The combined effect of cold air and wind speed is expressed as "equivalent chill temperature" (ECT) or simply "wind chill" temperature in ${}^{\circ}$ C or ${}^{\circ}$ F. It is essentially the air temperature that would feel the same on exposed human flesh as the given combination of air temperature and wind speed. It can be used as a general guideline for deciding clothing requirements and the possible health effects of cold.	
	In some parts of Canada the term "wind chill factor" is used. This is a measurement of a heat loss rate caused by exposure to wind and it is expressed as the rate of energy loss per unit area of exposed skin per second (e.g., joules/[second-metre ²] or watts/metre2, W/m ²).	
5.	Is a cold stress prevention program (developed in consultation with the JHSC) in place to prevent workers from exposure to cold related illnesses?	YES NO
	5(a) - An effective cold stress prevention program will prevent workers from suffering cold related illnesses.	If no, see box 5(a)
	Resource: the Ministry of Labour (MOL) Guideline No. 33: Working In Extreme Temperature Conditions / Safety Guidelines for the Film and Television Industry in Ontario and the Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS) Cold Environments – Working in the Cold	
6.	Does your company have a program for controlling worker exposure to cold-related illnesses, such as the use of protective clothing and work/rest schedule?	YES NO
	6(a) - The Ministry of Labour (MOL) published on its website Guideline No. 33: Working In Extreme Temperature Conditions / Safety Guidelines for the Film and Television Industry in Ontario items to be considered in a cold stress prevention program.	If no, see box 6(a)
	The Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS) published on its website a Wind Chill Chart, which was adapted from American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) Threshold Limit Values (TLVs®) and Biological Exposure Indices (BEIs®) that can be used as a general guideline for deciding clothing requirements and the possible health effects of cold.	
	The "work warm-up schedule" developed by the Saskatchewan Department of Labour can be used for work/rest schedule for workers in cold environment.	

Checklist completed by:	
NAME	TITLE
DATE _	

References:

Cold Environments – Working in the Cold, Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS) website http://www.ccohs.ca/oshanswers/phys_agents/cold_working.html

Guideline No. 33: Working In Extreme Temperature Conditions / Safety Guidelines for the Film and Television Industry in Ontario, Ministry of Labour (MOL), 2010, website http://www.labour.gov.on.ca/english/hs/pubs/filmguide/gl_33.php

Wind Chill Chart, American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH) Threshold Limit Values (TLVs®) and Biological Exposure Indices (BEIs®), 2013.

Work warm-up schedule, Saskatchewan Department of Labour

1.7 VIBRATION

COMPANY SITE/OPERA		ATION
1.	Are workers exposed to vibration while performing work activity?	YES NO
	1(a) - Health effects associated with exposure to vibration are:	If no, stop here
	Whole-body vibration syndrome (WBVS) - caused by the prolonged exposure of the body to vibration from the use of equipment. Whole-body vibration can cause fatigue, insomnia, stomach problems, headache and "shakiness" shortly after or during exposure; and	If yes, see box 1(a)
	Hand-arm vibration syndrome (HAVS) also known as Raynaud's phenomenon and vibration-induced white finger (VWF) - caused by the prolonged exposure of the hand and arm to vibration from hand-held equipment. It is a painful and disabling disorder of the blood vessels, nerves and joints.	
	Resource: Vibration - Health Effects, Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS).	
2.	Has the source of vibration in the workplace been identified?	YES NO NO
	2(a) - The employer must ensure that workers are not exposed to vibration in excess of the limits specified in:	If no, see box 2(a)
	The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists publication entitled Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices, for hand-arm vibration; and	
	The ANSI Standard S3.18-2002/ISO 2631-1-1997, Mechanical Vibration and Shock - Evaluation of Human Exposure to Whole Body Vibration - Part 1: General Requirements, whole-body vibration.	
3.	Have vibration measurements been conducted to assess the likelihood of worker exposure to vibration levels above the allowable limits?	YES NO III
	3(a) - For hand-arm vibration (HAV) limits, refer to The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists publication entitled Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices; and	-,
	For whole-body vibration limits, refer to the ANSI Standard S3.18-2002/ISO 2631-1-1997, Mechanical Vibration and Shock - Evaluation of Human Exposure to Whole Body Vibration - Part 1: General Requirement.	

4.	List the equipment/tools where hand-arm vibration and whole-body vibration dominant frequency or frequency-weighted limits exceeded allowable levels (e.g. scooptram, hauler trucks, jacklegs, scissor decks, Alimak climber platform, hand-held drills, etc.).		
	EQUIPMENT/TOOLS R	ANGE (ms ⁻² , Hz)	AVG. (ms ⁻² , Hz)
5.	Does your site or company have a pr worker exposure to vibration?	rogram for controlling	YES NO NO
	5(a) - Protecting workers from the effects of vi combination of appropriate tool selection, the absorbing materials (in gloves, for example), g education programs.	use of appropriate vibration-	If no, see box 5(a)
	Resource: Vibration - Measurement, Control of for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

6.	Have all methods reasonably necessary in the circumstances to protect workers from exposure to vibration in excess of the limits in the workplace been carried out, including the provision and use of engineering controls and work practices?	YES NO III	
	6(a) – The Vibration - Measurement, Control and Standards of the Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS), cites the following control measures:		
	Anti-Vibration Tools - Tools can be designed or mounted in ways that help reduce the vibration level;		
	Anti-Vibration Gloves - Anti-vibration gloves are made using a layer of viscoelastic material. Such gloves have limited effectiveness in absorbing low-frequency vibration, the major contributor to vibration-related disorders. Therefore, they offer little protection against developing vibration-induced white finger syndrome.		
	Safe Work Practices - Along with using anti-vibration tools and gloves, workers can reduce the risk of hand-arm vibration syndrome (HAVS).		
	Employee Education - Training programs are an effective means of heightening the awareness of HAVS in the workplace.		
7.	Has the efficiency of controls being implemented by the company for controlling workers exposure to vibration been evaluated?	YES NO III	
	7(a) - Ensure that appropriate methods for controlling workers exposure to vibration are efficient. as defined by your company's policy and procedures.		
	necklist completed by: TITLE		
D A	ATE		
Re	eferences:		
Vi	bration - Health Effects, Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and	Safety (CCOHS).	
	Vibration - Measurement, Control and Standards of the Canadian Centre for Occupational Health and Safety (CCOHS)		
	Hand-arm vibration (HAV) limits, American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists (ACGIH), Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices		
an	Whole-body vibration limits, ANSI Standard S3.18-2002/ISO 2631-1-1997, Mechanical Vibration and Shock - Evaluation of Human Exposure to Whole Body Vibration - Part 1: General Requirement.		

1.8 MUSCULOSKELETAL DISORDERS (MSDs)

COMPANY SITE/OI	PERATION
 The following is a list of Musculoskeletal Disorders: Repetitive strain injury (RSI), Musculoskeletal injury (MSI, syndrome (OOS) or Cumulative trauma disorder (CTD), Wo disorder (WMSD) (e.g. carpal tunnel syndrome, tendonitis, to tenosynovitis, back pains, muscle injury, tendon injury, nerve. Sprains such as a stretch or tear of a ligament (a band of fibror more bones at a joint), and strains such as an injury to a new cord of tissue that connects a muscle to a bone). 	ork-related musculoskeletal rigger finger, epicondylitis, e injury, back injury, etc.) rous tissue that connects two
2. Are any of the MSD-related injuries listed in (1) experienced employees while performing physical work activity at this site operation?	
 Check the injuries applicable to (2): Repetitive strain injury (RSI), Musculoskeletal injury (MSI, MSK), Occupational overuse syndrome (OOS) or Cumulative trauma disorder (CTD) Work-related musculoskeletal disorder (WMSD) (e.g. carpal tunnel syndrome, tendonitis, trigger finger, epicondylitis, tenosynovitis, back pains, muscle injury, tendon injury, nerve injury, back injury, etc.) Sprains such a stretch or tear of a ligament (a band of fibrous tissue that connects two or more bones at a joint), and strains such as an injury to a muscle or tendon (a fibrous cord of tissue that connects a muscle to a bone). 	
4. Is the nature of the physical work activity likely to cause an MSD injury to the worker?	YES NO III
5. Have you had any MSD lost-time, medical or first aid claims in the last 5 years? 5(a) - Record the number of claims below:	YES NO II If yes, see box 3(a)
Lost-time claims Medical claims First Aid claims 5(b) - MSD statistics may be obtained onsite through your incident investigation database, or by contacting Workplace Safety North (WSN) and requesting you statistical profile.	

6.	Are workers, supervisors, and management trained on manual material handling, taking the proper body position, risk factors associated with MSDs, signs and symptoms of MSDs and how to report discomfort?	YES NO
	6(a) – Providing education and awareness of MSD risk factors to the workforce is an important part of a MSD prevention program.	If no, see box 6(a) and go to question 7
7.	. What are the job tasks or occupations with the most MSD claims or worker reported discomfort?	
	List the top 3 job tasks you identified.	
	1)	
	2)	
	3)	
8.	Has a written assessment been prepared to determine the exposure or likelihood of exposure of a worker to MSD-related injuries?	YES NO
	8(a) - A written assessment is required for each substance. Where applicable, the assessment must be prepared in consultation with the JHSC.	If no, see box 8(a)
9.	Has a formal risk assessment been performed on the job tasks/occupations with MSD hazards?	YES NO
	9(a) - Conducting a risk assessment will allow you to make a simple or, if required, in-depth assessment of the level of risk to workers who perform jobs with recognized MSD hazards, and identify the root causes of the hazard.	If no, see box 9(a)
10	. Did the assessment(s) reveal that a worker may suffer MSD related injuries due to the nature of physical work activity?	YES NO III
11.	Has a formal assessment been done to ensure that workers assigned to do the work are physical capable of doing so?	YES NO
	11(a) - An effective approach to MSD prevention is to "fit the task to the worker".	If no, see box 11(a)
	Resource: WSN's Musculoskeletal Disorders (MSDs) Take Ten to Safety presentation.	

12. Is an MSD prevention program (developed in consultation with the JHSC) in place to reduce workers' exposure to MSD hazards?	YES NO
12(a) - An effective approach to MSD prevention can help employers to: reduce cost; increase productivity; improve the quality of products and services; and stimulate innovation.	If no, see box 12(a)
Resource: Resource Manual for the MSD Prevention Guideline for Ontario, WSIB.	
13. Was the control(s) effective in resolving the root cause(s) of the MSD risk factor(s)?	YES NO NO
13(a) - What made the control(s) ineffective?	If no, complete box 12(a)
14. Was a follow-up risk assessment conducted of the control(s) and job task to evaluate effectiveness of the control(s) or if new MSD risk factors were introduced?	YES NO
and job task to evaluate effectiveness of the control(s) or if new	YES NO III
and job task to evaluate effectiveness of the control(s) or if new MSD risk factors were introduced? 14(a) - Performing a risk assessment after implementation of a control is a good practice to ensure the root cause was eliminated and that no new risk factors were	
and job task to evaluate effectiveness of the control(s) or if new MSD risk factors were introduced? 14(a) - Performing a risk assessment after implementation of a control is a good practice to ensure the root cause was eliminated and that no new risk factors were created as a result of the control. 15. Is there a system in the program to evaluate the entire	If no, see box 13(a)
 and job task to evaluate effectiveness of the control(s) or if new MSD risk factors were introduced? 14(a) - Performing a risk assessment after implementation of a control is a good practice to ensure the root cause was eliminated and that no new risk factors were created as a result of the control. 15. Is there a system in the program to evaluate the entire MSD prevention process? 15(a) - A method for evaluation of the program would highlight strengths, 	If no, see box 13(a) YES NO II If no, see box 14(a)
 and job task to evaluate effectiveness of the control(s) or if new MSD risk factors were introduced? 14(a) - Performing a risk assessment after implementation of a control is a good practice to ensure the root cause was eliminated and that no new risk factors were created as a result of the control. 15. Is there a system in the program to evaluate the entire MSD prevention process? 15(a) - A method for evaluation of the program would highlight strengths, weaknesses and gaps in the process. 	If no, see box 13(a) YES NO II If no, see box 14(a)
 and job task to evaluate effectiveness of the control(s) or if new MSD risk factors were introduced? 14(a) - Performing a risk assessment after implementation of a control is a good practice to ensure the root cause was eliminated and that no new risk factors were created as a result of the control. 15. Is there a system in the program to evaluate the entire MSD prevention process? 15(a) - A method for evaluation of the program would highlight strengths, weaknesses and gaps in the process. 	If no, see box 13(a) YES NO II If no, see box 14(a)
 and job task to evaluate effectiveness of the control(s) or if new MSD risk factors were introduced? 14(a) - Performing a risk assessment after implementation of a control is a good practice to ensure the root cause was eliminated and that no new risk factors were created as a result of the control. 15. Is there a system in the program to evaluate the entire MSD prevention process? 15(a) - A method for evaluation of the program would highlight strengths, weaknesses and gaps in the process. 	If no, see box 13(a) YES NO II If no, see box 14(a)

Checklist completed by:	
NAME DATE_	TITLE

References:

Ontario Health and Safety Council of Ontario: MSD Prevention Guideline and Resource Manual Resource Manual for the MSD Prevention Guideline for Ontario, WSIB, Occupational Health and Safety Council of Ontario (OHSCO), MSD PREVENTION SERIES

2. BIOLOGICAL HAZARDS

2.1 BIOLOGICAL AGENTS

CC	COMPANY SITE/OPER		ATION		
1.	2 2	ntrol of Exposure to Biological d, used, handled or stored, or p		YES NO I	
2.	2. List the agents applicable to (1).				
a)		_g)	m)		
b)		h)	n)		
c)	_	_ i)	o)		
d)		_ j)	p)		
e)		_ k)	q)		
f)		_ l)	r)		
	worker to inhale, ingest, abothe agents listed in (2)?	(es) such that it is possible for sorb or come into contact with		YES NO III	
4.	List the agents applicable to	0 (3).			
a)		_ g)	m)		
b)		_ h)	n)		
c)		i)	o)		
d)		_ j)	p)		
e)		_ k)	q)		
f)			r)		

5.	Has air monitoring been conducted to determine the exposure or likelihood of exposure of a worker to each of the agents listed in (4), with respect to TWA, STEL and C limits?		YES NO NO III
	5(a) - A monitoring program should be in effect to determine whether a problem exists. Sampling and analysis should be conducted in accordance with recognized procedures (preferably NIOSH methods).		
6.	Are any of the agents listed in (4) present in sufficient concentration to cause exposures which could affect the health workers?	of	YES NO I
	6(a) - As a rule of thumb the likelihood of exposure exists when the measure exposure concentrations exceed half of the allowable limit (50% of the TWA known as the "action level".	If yes, see box 6(a)	
7.	List the agents applicable to (6).		
a)	g)m)		
b)	h)n)		
c)	i)o)		
d)	j)p)		
e)	k)q)		
f)	l)r)		
	s. Is a control program (developed in consultation with the JHSC) in place to limit worker exposure to the agent(s) listed in (7)?		YES NO NO
	8(a) - The program must include provisions for engineering controls, monitoring record-keeping, medical surveillance, and training. The JHSC must be consulted the development of the program.	If no, see box 8(a) and then go to question 10	
9.	Describe the control program:		
_			

Describe the control program (continued):		
10. Is personal respiratory protection with 'fit-testing' provided to exposed workers?	YES NO NO	
10(a) - Appropriate personal protective equipment must be provided in instances where engineering controls are not obtainable, not practical, or ineffective.	If no, see box 10(a)	
11. Does the personal respiratory protection provided meet the requirements for the levels of the agent(s) present in the workplace?	YES NO I	
11(a) - The respiratory protection provided must be appropriate for both the type and airborne concentration of the agent(s) present.	in no, see box 11(u)	
12. Do employees know their level of exposure to contaminants and the significance of those levels? Are the results of the testing (with names kept confidential) posted in a conspicuous place for at least 14 days?	YES NO III	
12(a) - Employees must be made aware of the hazard and their level of exposure. In cases where the exposure is significant, training in the proper use of control equipment and personal protective equipment must be provided to the employees.		
Checklist completed by:		
NAME TITLE		
DATE		
References:		
Occupational Health and Safety Act, R.R.O. 1990		
Regulation 854 - Mines and Mining Plants, R.R.O. 1990		
Regulation Respecting Control of Exposure to Biological or Chemical Agents, C	D. Reg. 833	

2.2 BACTERIA, VIRUSES AND FUNGI

CC	OMPANY SITE/OPER	ATION	
1.	Does the hazard of exposure to bacteria, viruses or fungi exist in the workplace?	YES NO II If no, stop here If yes, go to question 2	
2.	2. List the agents applicable to (1) (e.g. moulds, west nile, e. coli, dust mites, yeast streptococcus, Lyme disease, chicken pox, measles, flu, athlete's foot, etc.).		
a)	g)m)		
b)	h)n)		
c)	i)o)		
d)	j) p)		
e)	k) q)		
f)	r)r)		
3.	Are employees likely to be exposed to bacteria, viruses or fungi listed in (2) because of direct contact to the carrier or because of storage, handling, processing or use of an agent that is likely to be the source of viruses in the workplace?	YES NO II If no, stop here If yes, go to question 4	
4.	Are workers aware of the hazards they are being exposed to?		
	4(a) – Awareness training program should be provided to workers regarding the hazards exposure to viruses in the workplace. Workers and management should be aware of the chain of infection and possible routes of entry and transmission of the viruses: inhalation, ingestion, absorption through the skin	YES NO III	

5.	Has monitoring been conducted to determine the exposure or likelihood of exposure of a worker to each of the agents listed in (2)? 5(a) - A monitoring program should be in effect to determine whether a problem exists. Sampling and analysis should be conducted in accordance with recognized procedures (preferably NIOSH and OSHA sampling guides and US EPA test methods).	YES NO III
6.	Are any of the agents listed in (2) present in sufficient q u a n t i t y to cause exposures which could affect the health of workers?	YES NO IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
7.	Is a program (developed in consultation with the JHSC) in place to limit worker exposure to the agent(s) listed in (2)?	YES NO NO
	7(a) - The program must include provisions for engineering controls, monitoring, record-keeping, medical surveillance, and training. The JHSC must be consulted in the development of the program (Section 3 of Regulation 833).	If no, see box 7(a) and then go to question 9
8.	Describe the control program:	
_		
_		
_		
l _		
_		
_		
_		

9.	Is appropriate personal protective equipment (PPE) provided to exposed workers?	YES NO NO		
	9(a) - Appropriate personal protective equipment must be provided in instances where engineering controls are not obtainable, not practical, or ineffective (Section 7.2 (2) of Regulation 833).	If no, see box 10(a)		
10. Are workers trained on how to use the personal protective equipment (PPEs) properly?		YES NO NO		
	10(a) - Training should be provided to workers on how to use personal protective equipment.	If no, see box 10(a)		
11	Does the personal protective equipment (PPE) provided meet the requirements for the levels of the agent(s) present in the workplace?	YES NO III		
	11(a) - The PPE provided must be appropriate for each of the agent(s) present.			
12	Are workers made aware of the level of exposure to contaminants and the significance of those levels? Are the results of the testing (with names kept confidential) posted in a conspicuous place for at least 14 days?	YES NO III		
	12(a) - Employees must be made aware of the hazard and their level of exposure. In cases where the exposure is significant, training in the proper use of control equipment and personal protective equipment must be provided to the employees.			
Ch	ecklist completed by:			
NA	AME TITLE			
D A	ATE			
Re	ferences:			
An	tional Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Sampling Guide (alytical Methods (NMAM®), 4th ed. DHHS (NIOSH) Publication 94-113 (Aug E. & O'Connor, P.F., Eds.)	•		
	cupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) Sampling Guide (OSHA ethods)	Sampling and Analytical		
Re	Regulation Respecting Control of Exposure to Biological or Chemical Agents, O. Reg. 833			

3. CHEMICAL HAZARDS

3.1 CHEMICAL AGENTS INCLUDING MINE GASES

COMPANY		NY SITE/OPERA		
1.	2 2	ntrol of Exposure to Biological l, used, handled or stored, or p		YES NO III
2.	List the agents applicable to	0 (1).		
a)		g)	m)	
b)		_ h)	_ n)	
c)		i)	o)	
d)	_	j)	p)	
e)		_ k)	q)	
f)		_ l)	r)	
3.	_	(es) such that it is possible for sorb or come into contact with		YES NO III
4.	List the agents applicable to	0(3).		
a)		_ g)	m)	
b)		_ h)	_ n)	
c)		_ i)	_ o)	
d)		_ j)	_ p)	
e)		_ k)	q)	
f)		_ l)	r)	

5.	Has air monitoring been conducted to determine the exposure or likelihood of exposure of a worker to each of the agents listed in (4), with respect to TWA, STEL and C limits?			YES NO III
	5(a) - A monitoring program must be implemented to assess the likelihood of exposure and to evaluate the effectiveness of current controls in the workplace. Sampling and analysis shall be conducted in accordance with regulatory requirements, industry standards and recognized procedures (preferably NIOSH methods).			
6.	Are any of the agents listed in (4) present in sufficient concentration to cause exposures which could affect the health of workers?			YES NO I
	6(a) - An Occupational Hygienist must be consulted for professional insight on the identification, evaluation, control and management of chemical hazards. As a rule of thumb the likelihood of exposure exists when the measured exposure concentrations exceed the "action level" set out by the company.			If yes, see box 6(a)
	An Occupational Hygienist can also ensure appropriate monitoring is conducted as well as evaluate the monitoring data to provide detailed analysis and outline the likelihood of exposure in comparison with the applicable occupational exposure limits (OEL).			
7.	List the agents applicable to	0 (6).		
a)		_ g)	_m)	
b)		_ h)	_ n)	
c)		_ i)	_ o)	
d)		_ j)	_ p)	
e)		_ k)	_ q)	
f)		_ I)	_ r)	

8.	Is an Occupational/Industrial Hygiene Program (developed in consultation with the JHSC) in place to limit worker exposure to the agent(s) listed in (7) following the hierarchy of controls including elimination, engineering and administration? 8(a) - The program must be committed to the recognition, assessment and control of hazards that arise in or from the workplace that may result in illness, injury or discomfort. To help reduce the risk of hazardous exposures, improve working conditions and ensure regulatory compliance, appropriate occupational hygiene practices have been established. The program must also include provisions for providing record-keeping, medical surveillance, and training. The JHSC must be consulted in the development of the program.	YES NO NO IIII If no, see box 8(a) and then go to question 9
	8(b) - Additional programs and procedures may need to be established as an administrative control if the risk of exposure cannot be reduced to an acceptable level via substitution, elimination or engineering controls. This can also be implemented to establish a standard to continuously control chemical hazards in the workplace. Describe the control program:	
9.	Is personal respiratory protection with 'fit-testing' provided to exposed workers?	YES □ NO □
	9(a) - Appropriate personal protective equipment must be provided in instances where engineering controls are not obtainable, not practical, or ineffective.	If no, see box 10(a)
10	Does the personal respiratory protection provided meet the requirements for the levels of the agent(s) present in the workplace?	YES NO I
	10(a) - The respiratory protection provided must be appropriate for both the type and airborne concentration of the agent(s) present.	,

11. Do employees know their level of exposure to contaminants and the significance of those levels? Are the results of the testing (with names kept confidential) posted in a conspicuous place for at least 14 days?	YES NO III			
11(a) - Employees must be made aware of the hazard and their level of exposure. In cases where the exposure is significant, training in the proper use of control equipment and personal protective equipment must be provided to the employees.				
Checklist completed by:				
NAME TITLE				
DATE				
References:				
Occupational Health and Safety Act, R.R.O. 1990, Chapter 321				
Regulation for Mines and Mining Plants, R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 854				

3.2 SPECIFIC EXAMPLES FOR CHEMICAL AGENTS

3.2.1 Styrene

CC	OMPANY SITE/OPER	ATION
1.	Are workers exposed to styrene while performing work activity?	YES NO NO
	1(a) - Health effects associated with exposure to styrene are:	If no, stop here
	Acute (short-term) exposure to styrene in humans results in mucous membrane and eye irritation, and gastrointestinal effect; and	If yes, see box 1(a)
	Chronic (long-term) exposure to styrene in humans results in effects on the central nervous system (CNS), such as headache, fatigue, weakness, and depression, CSN dysfunction, hearing loss, and peripheral neuropathy. Several epidemiologic studies suggest there may be an association between styrene exposure and an increased risk of leukemia and lymphoma, increased frequency of spontaneous abortions and decreased frequency of births. EPA has not given a formal carcinogen classification to styrene. The U.S. National Toxicology Program has described styrene as "reasonably anticipated to be a human carcinogen" in June 2011.	
	Resource: Styrene – United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA).	
	U.S. National Toxicology Program June 10, 2011.	
	Styrene Information and Research Center (SIRC)	
2.	Has the source of styrene in the workplace been identified?	YES NO NO
	2(a) - The employer must ensure that workers are not exposed to styrene in excess of the limits specified in:	If yes, see box 2(a)
	The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists publication entitled Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices; and	If no, go to box 3
	The current Occupational Exposure Limits (OEL) for Ontario Workplaces Required under Regulation 833, which is TWA = 35 ppm and STEL = 100 ppm for Styrene monomer.	
3.	Styrene is primarily used in the production of polystyrene plastics and resins. Possible sources in underground workplaces are (check sources as it applies to your workplace):	
	3(a) - Resin cartridge for rebar bolt installation	
	3(b) - Pumpable resin for resin grouted cablebolts	
	3(c) - PVC pipe used for production blasthole casing	
	3(d) - Spray plastic sealant/insulation (e.g. mono foam, etc.)	
	3(e) - Other sources (specify, check MSDS of suspected sources)	

4.	Have styrene concentration measurements been conducted to assess the likelihood of worker exposure to styrene levels above the allowable limits?	YES NO III
	4(a) - Refer to the current Occupational Exposure Limits (OEL) for Ontario Workplaces Required under Regulation 833; TWA = 35 ppm and STEL = 100 ppm for Styrene monomer; and	
	For the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists publication entitled Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices, for styrene; $TWA = 50 \text{ ppm } (215 \text{ mg/m}^3) \text{ ST} = 100 \text{ ppm } (425 \text{ mg/m}^3)$.	
	4(b) - Possible exposure routes are: inhalation, skin absorption, ingestion, skin and/or eye contact.	
5.	Does your site or company have a program for controlling worker exposure to styrene?	YES NO NO
	5(a) - Protecting workers from the exposure to styrene requires a combination of appropriate personal protection and sanitation codes, good work practices, and education programs.	If no, see box 5(a)
	Resource: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) Pocket Guide to Chemical Hazards - Styrene.	
6.	Are all methods reasonably necessary in the circumstances to protect workers from exposure to styrene in excess of the limits in the workplace being carried out, including the provision and use of engineering controls and work practices?	YES NO III
	6(a) - The Health and Safety Executive (HSE) cites the following control measures:	
	During application process (pumpable resin application or resin rebar installation) - good ventilation; use of spray curtain or atomizer to minimize spread of contaminant;	
	For exceptional cases or extreme conditions, supplement ventilation with respiratory protective equipment or use airline-fed equipment to ensure workers are not over-exposed.	
7.	Has the efficiency of controls being implemented by the company for controlling workers exposure to styrene been evaluated?	YES NO I
	7(a) - Ensure that appropriate methods for controlling workers exposure to styrene are efficient, as defined by your company's policy and procedures.	11 yes, see bua 7(a)

Checklist completed by:		
NAME	TITLE	
DATE		

United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) - Styrene.

U.S. National Toxicology Program June 10, 2011

Styrene Information and Research Center (SIRC)

Health and Safety Executive (HSE), August 2003, Assessing and controlling styrene levels during contact moulding of fibre-reinforced plastic (FRP) products

3.2.2 *Welding Fumes*

COMPANY SITE/OPERA			ATION	
1.	1. Are workers exposed to welding fumes while performing work activity?		YES NO	
	1(a) - Health effects associated with exposure to weld	ing fumes and gases are:	If no, stop here	
	Acute (short-term) effects include pulmonary edema, rirritation and ulceration; skin irritation, respiratory to ears irritation, pneumonitis, chronic bronchitis, emph	ract irritation, eyes and	If yes, see box 1(a)	
	Chronic effects include kidney damage and emphysem lung cancer, kidney and liver damage.	na, pulmonary fibrosis,		
	Resource: Government of Canada Labour Program - Hazards and Hazard Control Measures with Respect to Processes; Information on Occupational Health and S	to Welding and Allied		
	Occupational Exposure Limits (OEL) for Ontario Workplaces Required under Regulation 833			
	For the American Conference of Governmental Industry publication entitled Threshold Limit Values and Biolo for chromium.			
1.	1. The following is a list of welding fumes and gases encountered during welding and metal thermal cutting operations in underground mines (for specific welding material composition/information of ingredients and trace elements, refer to the Material Safety Data Sheet (MSDS) for the welding material):			
	• Cadmium Oxide (CdO)	• Nickel (Ni)		
	• Chromium (VI) (Cr)	• Zinc Oxide (Zne	0)	
	• Copper (Cu)	• Flourides (F ⁻)		
	• Iron Oxide (FeO)	• Ozone (O ₃)		
	• Magnesium Oxide (MgO)	• Nitrogen Oxido	e (NO)	
	• Manganese (Mn)	• Carbon Monox	xide (CO)	

3.	3. Are any of the substances listed in (1) produced or present at this site/ operation?		YES NO III		
4.		e welding fumes and gases applicable Cadmium Oxide (CdO) Chromium (VI) (Cr)		Nickel (Ni) Zinc Oxide (Zn	(O)
		Copper (Cu) Iron Oxide (FeO) Magnesium Oxide (MgO) Manganese (Mn)		Flourides (F ⁻) Ozone (O ₃) Nitrogen Oxid Carbon Mono	
5.	5. Is the nature of the process(es) such that a worker is likely to inhale or come into contact with any of the mine's gases?		YES NO III		
6.	6. Has air monitoring been conducted to determine the exposure or likelihood of exposure of a worker to each of the agents listed in (4) with respect to TWA, STEL and C limits?		YES NO III		
	6(a) - A monitoring program should be in effect to determine whether a problem exists. Sampling and analysis should be conducted in accordance with recognized procedures.				
7.	Are any of the agents listed in (6) present in sufficient concentration to cause exposures which could affect the health of workers?				YES NO III
	7(a) - As a rule of thumb the likelihood of exposure exists when the measured exposure concentrations exceed half of the allowable limit (50% of the TWA), known as the "action level".				If yes, see box 7(a)

8.	Is a control program (developed in consultation with the JHSC) in place to limit worker exposure to the agent(s) listed in (6) following the hierarchy of controls, including elimination, engineering and administration?	YES NO III If no, see box 8(a) and then go to question 10	
	8(a) - The program must include provisions for engineering controls, monitoring, record-keeping, medical surveillance, and training. The JHSC must be consulted in the development of the program.		
9.	Describe the control program:		
_			
10	. Is personal respiratory protection with 'fit-testing' provided to exposed workers?	YES NO	
	10(a) - Appropriate personal protective equipment must be provided in instances where engineering controls are not obtainable, not practical, or ineffective.	If no, see box 10(a)	
11	Does the personal respiratory protection provided meet the requirements for the levels of the agent(s) present in the workplace?	YES NO III If no, see box 11(a)	
	11(a) - The respiratory protection provided must be appropriate for both the type and airborne concentration of the agent(s) present.		
12	Do employees know their level of exposure to contaminants and the significance of those levels? Are the results of the testing (with names kept confidential) posted in a conspicuous place for at least 14 days?	YES NO III If no, see box 12(a)	
	12(a) - Employees must be made aware of the hazard and their level of exposure. In cases where the exposure is significant, training in the proper use of control equipment and personal protective equipment must be provided to the employees.		

Checklist completed by:		
NAME	TITLE	
DATE		

Government of Canada Labour Program - A Guide to Health Hazards and Hazard Control Measures with Respect to Welding and Allied Processes; Information on Occupational Health and Safety

Occupational Exposure Limits (OEL) for Ontario Workplaces Required under Regulation 833

For the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists publication entitled Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices, for chromium

3.2.3 Chromium (VI)

COMPANY SITE/OPERA		ATION
1.	Are workers exposed to chromium (VI), or hexavalent chromium while performing work activity?	yes □ NO □
	1(a) - Health effects associated with exposure to chromium (VI) are:	If no, stop here
	Acute (short-term) exposure to chromium (VI) in humans results in irritation or damage to the nose, throat, and lung (respiratory tract), irritation or damage to the eyes and skin if chromium (VI) contacts these organs in high concentrations; and	If yes, see box 1(a)
	Chronic (long-term) exposure to airborne chromium (VI) in humans results in lung cancer.	
	Resource: Occupational Safety and Health Association (OSHA) - OSHA Fact Sheet	
	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC),National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) - Occupational Exposure to Hexavalent Chromium	
	Portland Cement Association (PCA) Research and Development Information - Hexavalent Chromium in Cement Manufacturing: Literature Review	
2.	Has the source of chromium (VI) in the workplace been identified?	YES □ NO □
	2(a) - The employer must ensure that workers are not exposed to chromium (VI) in excess of the limits specified in:	If yes, see box 2(a)
	The American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists publication entitled Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices, for hand-arm vibration; and/or	If no, go to box 3
	The current Occupational Exposure Limits (OEL) for Ontario Workplaces Required under Regulation 833.	
2.	Chromium (VI) is used as pigment in dyes, paints, inks, and plastics, in chrome plating, smelting of ferrochromium ore, and welding stainless steel or nonferrous chromium alloys, and an impurity present in Portland cement. Possible sources in underground workplaces are (check sources as it applies to your workplace):	_
	3(a) - Portland cement used shotcreting, concreting and backfilling	
	3(b) - Paints and plastics 3(c) - Other sources (specify, check MSDS of suspected sources)	

4.	Have chromium (VI) concentration measurements been conducted to assess the likelihood of worker exposure to levels above the allowable limits?	YES NO III
	4(a) - Refer to the current Occupational Exposure Limits (OEL) for Ontario Workplaces Required under Regulation 833; $TWA = 0.5 \text{ mg/m}^3$ for Metal and Cr III compounds; 0.05 mg/m³ Water- soluble Cr VI compounds; and 0.01 mg/m³ for Insoluble Cr VI compounds; and	
	For the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists publication entitled Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices, for chromium.	
5.	Does your site or company have a program for controlling worker exposure to chromium?	YES NO
	5(a) - Protecting workers from the exposure to chromium requires a combination of appropriate personal protection and sanitation codes, good work practices, and education programs.	If no, see box 5(a)
	Resource: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC),National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) - Occupational Exposure to Hexavalent Chromium.	
6.	Are all methods reasonably necessary in the circumstances to protect workers from exposure to chromium in excess of the limits in the workplace been carried out, including the provision and use of engineering controls and work practices?	YES NO III
	6(a) - The Health and Safety Executive (HSE) cites the following control measures:	
	During shotcreting and cementing processes - good ventilation; use of spray curtain or atomizer to minimize spread of contaminant;	
	For exceptional cases or extreme conditions, supplement ventilation with respiratory protective equipment or use airline-fed equipment to ensure workers are not over-exposed.	
7.	Has the efficiency of controls implemented by the company for controlling workers exposure to chromium (VI) been evaluated?	YES NO I
	7(a) - Ensure that appropriate methods for controlling workers exposure to chromium (V) are efficient, as defined by your company's policy and procedures.	,,

Checklist completed by:					
NAME	TITLE				
DATE					

Occupational Safety and Health Association (OSHA) - OSHA Fact Sheet

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) - Occupational Exposure to Hexavalent Chromium

Portland Cement Association (PCA) Research and Development Information - Hexavalent Chromium in Cement Manufacturing: Literature Review

Occupational Exposure Limits (OEL) for Ontario Workplaces Required under Regulation 833

For the American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists publication entitled Threshold Limit Values and Biological Exposure Indices, for chromium.

3.3 DESIGNATED SUBSTANCES

COMPANY		SITE/OPERATION				
3. The	e following is a list of th	e curr	ent designated substances in (Onta	rio:	
•	Acrylonitrile	•	Ethylene Oxide •	Mer	cury	
•	Arsenic	•	Isocyanates •	Silic	ca	
•	Asbestos	•	Lead •	Viny	d Chloride	
•	Benzene	•	Coke Oven Emissions			
sto	2. Are any of the substances listed in (1) produced, used, handled or stored, or present in a product or by-product at this site/ operation? YES NO If no, stop here					
4. Ch	eck the substances appl	icable	to (2):			
	Acrylonitrile		Ethylene Oxide		Mercury	
	Arsenic		Isocyanates		Silica	
	Asbestos		Lead		Vinyl Chloride	
	Benzene		Coke Oven Emissions			
inh	4. Is the nature of the process(es) such that a worker is likely to inhale, ingest, absorb or come into contact with any of the designated substances? YES NO II If no, stop here					
5. Ch	5. Check the substances applicable to (4):					
	Acrylonitrile		Ethylene Oxide		Mercury	
	Arsenic		Isocyanates		Silica	
	Asbestos		Lead		Vinyl Chloride	
	Benzene		Coke Oven Emissions			

6.	Has a written assessment be exposure or likelihood of a substances listed in (5)?	YES NO III				
			r each substance. Where applicable, the tion with the JHSC. Refer to specific			
7.	7. Did the assessment(s) reveal that the health of a worker may be affected by any of the substances listed in (5)?				YES NO NO If no, stop here	
8.	Check the substances appl	icable	to (7).			
	Acrylonitrile Arsenic Asbestos Benzene		Ethylene Oxide Isocyanates Lead Coke Oven Emissions]]]	Mercury Silica Vinyl Chloride	
	9(a) - The control program must it controls, monitoring, record-keep	YES NO See box 9(a)				
10	10. Briefly describe the control program:					
_						
_						
_						
11	11. Has a written copy of the control program(s) been developed in consultation with and provided to the JHSC, following the hierarchy of controls including elimination, engineering controls?				YES NO If no, see box 11(a)	
	11(a) - The JHSC must be consult be forwarded to the JHSC and affer program(s).					

12. Is a medical surveillance program required, as part of the control program, for any of the substances listed in (8)?	YES NO III
13. Has a suitable medical surveillance program been put into effect for each of the substances identified in (12)?	YES NO NO
13(a) - Refer to the specific regulation(s) for requirements of the medical surveillance program	If no, see box 13(a)
14. Describe the medical surveillance program(s):	
15. Is personal respiratory protection required to be worn by exposed workers?	YES NO NO
	If no, stop here.
16. Does the personal respiratory protection provided meet the requirements for the levels of the substance(s) present in the workplace?	YES NO
16(a) - Refer to the "Code for Respiratory Equipment" contained in the specific designated substance regulation(s).	1

Checklist completed by:				
NAME	TITLE			
DATE				
Defenences				

Occupational Health and Safety Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter 321

Regulation 854 - Mines and Mining Plants, R.R.O. 1990

DESIGNATED SUBSTANCES REGULATION

Ontario Regulation 490/09 - Designated Substances

3.4 DIESEL PARTICULATE MATTER (DPM) in Underground Mines

CO	OMPANY	SITE/OPER	ATION
1.	List all diesel-powered equipmen	nt used in underground operation	:
_	EQUIPMENT TYPE	USE	LOCATION
-			
-			
	Does any of the equipment listed level exceeding the regulated lim in underground environment an requirements of Regulation 854 where diesel-powered equipmen	nit of 400 µm/m ³ concentration ad does the flow of air meet the provided to the workplace	YES NO III
	2(a) - Section 183 of Regulation 854 state diesel exhaust must be (a) reduced to the worker to total carbon to not more than (or (b) reduced to the time-weighted average carbon, multiplied by 1.3, to not more that air.	time-weighted average exposure of a 0.4 milligrams per cubic metre of air; age exposure of a worker to elemental	
3.	Is the nature of the process(es) s be exposed to DPM?	such that a worker is likely to	YES NO III
4.	Has air quality monitoring been exposure or likelihood of exposurespect to TWA limits?		YES NO III
	4(a) - A monitoring program should be in problem exists. Sampling and analysis, a conducted in accordance with Regulation	nd record keeping should be	1,222.2.7

5.	Is DPM present in sufficient concentration to cause exposures which could affect the health of workers?	YES NO NO
	5(a) - As a rule of thumb the likelihood of exposure exists when the measured exposure concentrations exceed half of the allowable limit (50% of the TWA), known as the "action level".	If no, stop here If yes, see box 5(a)
6.	Is a control program (developed in consultation with the JHSC) in place to limit worker exposure to DPM following the hierarchy of controls, including elimination, engineering and administration?	YES NO III If no, see box 6(a) and then go to question 8
	6(a) - The program must include provisions for engineering controls, monitoring, record-keeping, medical surveillance, and training. The JHSC must be consulted in the development of the program.	
7. 	Describe the control program:	
_		
8.	Are engineering controls such as use of DPM filters, enclosed cabins, remote control operation, increased ventilation flow, etc., provided to prevent exposure of workers to DPM?	YES NO II
	8a) - Appropriate engineering controls must be provided to prevent workers' exposure to excessive DPM levels.	
9.	When engineering controls are not practical, is personal protective equipment (PPE) provided to exposed workers?	YES NO
	9a) - Appropriate personal protective equipment must be provided in instances where engineering controls are not obtainable, not practical, or ineffective.	If no, see box 9(a)

10. Does the PPE provided meet the requirements for the levels of DPM present in the workplace?	YES NO	
10(a) - The respiratory protection provided must be appropriate for both the type and airborne concentration of the agent(s) present.	If no, see box 10(a)	
11. Do employees know their level of exposure to contaminants and the significance of those levels? Are the results of the testing (with names kept confidential) posted in a conspicuous place for at least 14 days?	YES NO III	
11(a) - Employees must be made aware of the hazard and their level of exposure. In cases where the exposure is significant, training in the proper use of control equipment and personal protective equipment must be provided to the employees.		
Checklist completed by:		
NAME TITLE		
DATE _		
References: Occupational Health and Safety Act, R.S.O. 1990		
Regulation 854 - Mines and Mining Plants, R.R.O. 1990		

4. AUXILIARY MINE VENTILATION

COMPANY SITE/OPERA		ATION
1.	Are accurate plans and records of mechanical ventilation system in the underground mine available and maintained?	YES NO NO
	1(a) - Section 253 (2) of Regulation 854 states that accurate plans and records of a mechanical ventilation system in an underground mine shall be kept and maintained showing: (a) the location of all ventilation fans;(b) the volumes of air in cubic metres per second handled by the ventilation fans;(c) the fan operating gauge pressure;(d) the direction of flow of main ventilating airflows;(e) the location and function of all fire doors; and(f) the location and function of all ventilation doors, brattices, stoppings and regulators controlling airflows.	If no, see box 1(a)
2.	Is the required volume of air reaching the heading face sufficient (depending on the size and number of equipment used at the face, or square area of face, if no equipment is used)?	YES NO NO
	2(a) - Section 183.1 (3) of Regulation 854 states that the flow of air must be at least 0.06 cubic metres per second for each kilowatt of power of the diesel-powered equipment operating in the workplace. For headings not governed by diesel requirements, best practice require 0.014 to 0.028 m³/s per square metre of face area (30-60 cfm per square foot of face area) or 0.250 m/s (50 fpm) air velocity for headings (WSN's Auxiliary Mine Ventilation Manual)	If no, see box 2(a)
3.	Are mechanical ventilation systems installations maintained to ensure that a partial pressure of oxygen of more than eighteen kilopascals is provided, and contaminants are diluted and removed from all workplaces to prevent exposure of a worker to contaminants in excess of the limits?	YES NO III
	3(a) - Section 253 (1) of Regulation 854 states that in an underground mine, a mechanical ventilation system shall be provided, maintained and used that will, (a) provide a partial pressure of oxygen of more than eighteen kilopascals; and (b) except as provided by a regulation made in respect of a designated substance, dilute and remove contaminants from all workplaces therein to prevent exposure of a worker to contaminants in excess of the limits, (i) prescribed under section 4 of Regulation 833 of the Revised Regulations of Ontario, 1990 (Control of Exposure to Biological or Chemical Agents), or (ii) if no limits are prescribed under the said section 4, adopted as criteria or guides under section 283 of Regulation 854.	

4.	Are charts (commonly known as diesel control boards) available and posted in locations where they are clearly visible and readily accessible to the operator of diesel-powered equipment? The charts should set out, (a) the actual volume of air flowing in the underground haulageways and workings where the equipment is operating; and (b) the total ventilation requirements for the equipment when it is operating normally in a single continuous course of air.	YES NO III
	4(a) - Section 183 (1) of Regulation 854 states that the employer shall maintain a chart of procedures for the use and operation of diesel-powered equipment that sets out, (a) the actual volume of air flowing in the underground haulageways and workings where the equipment is operating; and (b) the total ventilation requirements for the equipment when it is operating normally in a single continuous course of air. (2) The employer shall post the chart in a location where it is clearly visible and readily accessible to the operator of the diesel-powered equipment.	
5.	Are airflows in headings sufficient to reduce the concentration of toxic substances from diesel exhaust emissions to prevent exposure of workers to a level of no more than the concentration limits prescribed under Section 4 of Regulation 833, and the time-weighted average exposure of workers to total carbon of not more than 0.4 milligrams per cubic metre of air?	YES NO III
	4(a)- Section 183.1 (4) of Regulation 854 states that the employer shall ensure that the flow of air must reduce the concentration of toxic substances in diesel exhaust emissions to prevent exposure of a worker to a level of no more than, (a) the limits prescribed under section 4 of Regulation 833 of the Revised Regulations of Ontario, 1990 ("Control of Exposure to Biological or Chemical Agents") made under the Act; or (b) if no limits are prescribed under that section, the threshold limit values adopted as criteria or guides under section 283. (5) The flow of air must reduce the time-weighted average exposure of a worker to total carbon to not more than 0.4 milligrams per cubic metre of air.	

6.2	Are all active development, exploration or production workplaces ventilated throughout by an auxiliary ventilation system for any advance in excess of sixty metres from a mechanical ventilation system? Is a continuous supply of fresh air provided and used to dilute and remove contaminants in all active raises? Is a continuous supply of fresh air provided and used to dilute and remove contaminants in all active sub-drifts for any advance in excess of ten metres from a mechanical ventilation system?	YES NO
	6(a) - Section 254 (1) of Regulation 854 states that in an underground mine, (a) subject to clause (b), a development, exploration or production workplace shall be ventilated throughout by an auxiliary ventilation system for any advance in excess of sixty metres from a mechanical mine ventilation system; and (b) if Regulation 833 (Control of Exposure to Biological or Chemical Agents) made under the Act applies, a continuous supply of fresh air shall be provided and used to dilute and remove contaminants in a raise, and in a sub-drift for any advance in excess of ten metres from a mechanical mine ventilation system, to prevent exposure of a worker to contaminants in excess of, (i) the limits prescribed under section 4 of Regulation 833, or (ii) if no limits are prescribed under section 4 of Regulation 833, the limits adopted as criteria or guides under section 283 of this Regulation.	
7.	Are abandoned or unventilated areas in the underground mine effectively barricaded, posted with warning signs of no entry, and examined by a competent person before any other person enters or is permitted to enter the area?	YES NO III
	7(a) - Section 255 (1) of Regulation 854 states that in an underground area that is not part of an underground mine ventilation system shall, (a) be effectively barricaded to prevent inadvertent entry;(b) be posted with signs to warn a person that entry is prohibited; and(c) subject to subsection (3), be examined by a competent person before any other person enters or is permitted to enter the underground area.	

8.1 Are tests conducted at least weekly to determine the volume of air flowing in underground haulageways and workings where diesel-powered equipment is operating?	YES NO III
8.2 Are carbon monoxide contents of the undiluted exhaust	YES NO
discharging from diesel-powered equipment to the atmosphere tested, (i) immediately after repairs are made to the engine or the	If no, see box 8(a)
exhaust system or both, and (ii) at routine intervals for maintenance as the manufacturer recommends or, if there is no	
such recommendation, at least once a month?	
8.3 Are the volume of air flow and the carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, formaldehyde or total carbon contents of the atmosphere	YES NO
tested at the request of a worker?	If no, see box 8(a)
8(a) - Section 183.2 (1) of Regulation 854 states that the employer shall ensure that tests are conducted to determine the following matters at the times indicated: 1. The volume of air flowing in underground haulageways and workings where diesel-powered equipment is operating. This must be tested at least weekly. 2. The carbon monoxide content of the undiluted exhaust discharging from diesel-powered equipment to the atmosphere. This must be tested, i. immediately after repairs are made to the engine or the exhaust system or both, and ii. at routine intervals for maintenance as the manufacturer recommends or, if there is no such recommendation, at least once a month. 3. The volume of air flow and the carbon monoxide, nitrogen dioxide, formaldehyde or total carbon contents of the atmosphere. These must be tested at the request of a worker.	
9.1 Are testing procedures in relation to tests conducted under Item 8 developed and implemented in consultation with the Joint Health and Safety Committee (JHSC) or health and safety representative?	YES NO III
9.2 Are individual piece of equipment tested under consistent	YES NO
conditions so that results from different tests can be compared?	If no, see box 9(a)
9.3 Are testing carried out, as far as is practical, on equipment under	YES NO
full load?	If no, see box 9(a)
9(a) - Section 183.2 (1.1) of Regulation 854 states that the employer shall ensure that the following rules are complied with in relation to tests conducted under paragraph 2 of subsection (1): 1. The employer shall develop and implement testing measures and procedures in consultation with the joint health and safety committee or health and safety representative, if any, and shall take into consideration any recommendations made by the committee or representative. 2. Each individual piece of equipment must be tested under consistent conditions so that results from different tests can be compared. 3. Testing must be carried out, as far as is practical, on equipment under full load.	

10. Are results of every test conducted under Item 8 provided to the JHSC or to the workplace health and safety representative?	YES NO NO
10(a) - Section 183.2 (2) of Regulation 854 states that the employer shall provide the results of every test conducted under subsection (1) to the joint health and safety committee or the health and safety representative, if any, for the workplace.	If no, see box 10(a)
11. Are records of results of every test conducted under Items 9.1, 9.2, and 9.3 kept and maintained?	YES NO NO
11(a) - Section 183.2 (3) of Regulation 854 states that the employer shall record the results of every test conducted under paragraphs 2 and 3 of subsection (1) and shall maintain the record.	If no, see box 11(a)
12.1 Are tests indicating that a worker has been exposed to diesel exhaust emissions containing a toxic substance in excess of the allowable level investigated and the worker notified?	YES NO III
12.2 Are re-tests of the emissions conducted until the results show that the concentration of the toxic substance does not exceed the allowable level?	YES NO III
12(a) - Section 183.2 (3) of Regulation 854 states that if a test indicates that a worker has been exposed to diesel exhaust emissions containing a toxic substance in excess of the level set out in subsection 183.1 (4) or clause 183.1 (5) (a) and if this test result could not have been predicted in the circumstances, the employer shall,(a) investigate the cause and take remedial action, if possible, to prevent a recurrence of the situation;(b) notify the worker and the joint health and safety committee or the health and safety representative, if any, for the workplace; and(c) conduct tests of the emissions until the results show that the concentration of the toxic substance does not exceed the level set out in subsection 183.1 (4) or clause 183.1 (5).	
13. Is air recirculation performed or practiced in the mine?	YES NO NO
	If yes, see box 14

14. Are there provisions made for a make-up air supply system having sufficient volume to keep any contaminants below the prescribed limits?	YES NO III
14(a) - Section 286 (1) of Regulation 854 states that if Regulation 833 (Control of Exposure to Biological or Chemical Agents) made under the Act applies and a local exhaust ventilation system recirculates air to the workplace, provision shall be made for a make-up air supply system having sufficient volume to keep any contaminants below, (a) the limits prescribed under section 4 of Regulation 833 of the Revised Regulations of Ontario, 1990; or(b) if no limits are prescribed under section 4 of Regulation 833 of the Revised Regulations of Ontario, 1990, the limits adopted as criteria or guides under section 283 of this Regulation. O. Reg. 496/09, s. 5. Section 286 (2) of Regulation 854 states that the contaminant level in the recirculated air shall not exceed 20 per cent of the limits described in subsection (1).	
Checklist completed by:	
NAME TITLE	
DATE	
References	
Regulation 854 - Mines and Mining Plants, R.R.O 1990	
Regulation 833 - Control of Exposure to Biological or Chemical Agents	
Auxiliary Mine Ventilation Manual	

5. WORKING ON SURFACE AND WILDLIFE HAZARDS

5.1 WEST NILE VIRUS (WNV)

COMPANY SITE/OPERA		ATION
1.	Are employees required to work outdoors during the spring, summer or fall?	YES NO III
2.	Is there a policy of encouraging employees to wear tightly-woven, long-sleeved, and lightly-coloured clothing while working outdoors?	YES NO III
	2(a) - Covering up is the most effective way to prevent mosquito and bug bites.	
3.	Is a personal insect repellent such as DEET provided for employees working outside? Are they aware of how to properly apply DEET-based repellents?	YES NO III
	3(a) - It is important employees are aware of the risks of DEET repellent and how it should be applied to skin and clothing.	
4.	Are employees required to work in screened-in areas?	YES NO III
5.	Are windows and screens checked regularly to ensure they are in good condition?	YES NO III
	Box 5(a) - Screens should be checked regularly to prevent mosquitoes from entering the building through small openings.	in no, see bone (u)
6.	Are there procedures in place to remove any stagnant water on or near the worksite? This includes old tires, plastic containers, tin cans and clogged rain gutters.	YES NO III
	6(a) - A policy should be developed to remove stagnant water from the workplace. Up to 1000 mosquitoes can breed in a small bucket over seven days. Holes can be drilled into the bottom of plastic containers to prevent puddles from forming.	

7.	Is there a policy of reporting dead crows, blue jays, ravens or hawks to the local authorities for testing? Are employees trained in how to handle dead birds?	YES NO III
	7(a) - Testing birds for West Nile Virus (WNV) is a reliable and accurate way to alert employees and the community if WNV is present in the area. Employees must understand how to safely handle dead birds.	
8.	Are employees trained in recognizing the symptoms of WNV?	YES NO
	8(a) - Employees should be aware of the symptoms of WNV so, if necessary, they can seek medical assistance immediately.	If no, see box 8(a)
9.	Is there a procedure in place for handling suspected cases of WNV?	YES □ NO □
	9(a) - Workplace Safety North (WSN) recommends having a procedure in place to handle suspected cases.	If no, see box 9(a)
Ch	necklist completed by:	
N A	AME TITLE	
D A	ATE	
Re	ferences:	
Pu	blic Health Agency of Canada	
Не	ealth and Safety: FAQ West Nile Virus	

5.2 TICK BITES AND LYME DESEASE

COMPANY SITE/OPERA		ATION
1.	Are employees required to work outdoors during the spring, summer or fall?	YES NO III
2.	Are employees likely to be exposed to tick bites and Lyme disease?	YES NO IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
3.	Are workers aware of the hazards they are being exposed to?	YES NO
	3(a) – Awareness training program should be provided to workers regarding the hazards exposure to tick bites and Lyme disease in the workplace.	If no, see box 3(a)
4.	Do workers know what to look for and what to do if they show signs of Lyme disease or tick bites?	YES NO I
	4(a) - Workers should be trained of the signs and symptoms of Lyme disease and tick bite	11 110, see 100x 4(u)
	Lyme disease can be spread by blacklegged ticks (or deer ticks) and have many symptoms ranging from flu-like symptoms in its early stages to, if left untreated, more serious symptoms affecting the central nervous system, brain or even heart.	
	When bitten by ticks, a rash that looks like a "bull's-eye" target may appear after the tick bite. Late manifestations may even occur months to years later.	
	Resource: Information about ticks and Lyme disease, Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care, and Protecting Outdoor Workers from Tick Bites and Lyme Disease, Ontario Ministry of Labour (MOL).	
5.	Is there a policy of encouraging employees to wear tightly-woven, long-sleeved, and lightly-coloured clothing while working outdoors?	YES NO III
	5(a) - Covering up is the most effective way to prevent tick or bug bites.	
6.	Is a personal insect repellent such as DEET provided for employees working outside? Are they aware of how to properly apply DEET- based repellents?	YES NO III
	6(a) - It is important employees are aware of the risks of DEET repellent and how it should be applied to skin and clothing.	

7. Does the personal protective clothing workers wear and personal insect repellent meet the requirements for the levels of the agent(s) present in the workplace?	YES NO III	
7(a) - The personnel protection provided must be appropriate for the agent(s) present.		
8. Is there a procedure in place for handling suspected cases of Lyme disease?	YES NO NO	
8(a) - Workplace Safety North (WSN) recommends having a procedure in place to handle suspected cases.	If no, see box 8(a)	
9. Is there a policy of reporting workers who have developed Lyme disease to the company and to the Ministry of Labour (MOL)?	YES NO III	
8(a) - Section 52(2) of the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA) requires that when the company is made aware that a worker has developed Lyme disease, i has to be reported to MOL as an occupational illness.		
Checklist completed by:		
NAME TITLE		
DATE		
References:		
Information about ticks and Lyme disease, Ontario Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care.		
Protecting Outdoor Workers from Tick Bites and Lyme Disease, Ontario Ministry of Labour (MOL).		
Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA).		

6. WHMIS 2015

CO	OMPANY	ATION	
1.	Has an inventory of WHMIS-controlled products been prepared/updated for this site/operation?		YES □ NO □
	I(a) - The Occupational Health an inventory be prepared and revised	If no, see box 1(a)	
2.	Are all products being used in the workplace reviewed prior to use?		YES NO NO
		propriate precautions and controls are utilized hould include product assessments and	If no, see box 2(a)
3.	. Are current suppliers' material safety data sheets (MSDS) or safety data sheets (SDS) readily accessible for all WHMIS-controlled products?		YES NO
	be "made available by the employ	nd Safety Act (Section 38) requires that MSDSs er in a workplace in such a manner as to allow e:MSDSs expire three years after the date of	If no, see box 3a)
4.	Have MSDSs or SDSs and for all WHMIS-controlled site/operation?	workplace labels been prepared products <u>produced</u> at this	YES □ NO □
	4(a) - Legal requirement under Section 29 of the Occupational Health and Safety Act.		If no, see box 4(a) If not applicable, go to Question 5
5.	5. List the substances applicable to (3):		
- -	SUBSTANCE	DATE OF MSDS or SDS	COMPLETED BY
_			

6.	Are copies of MSDSs or SDSs readily made available to the workers in the workplace?	YES NO	
	6(a) - Legal requirement under the Occupational Health and Safety Act. Refer to Section 38(6) regarding special requirements governing the access of MSDSsor SDSs on computer terminals.	If no, see box 6(a)	
7.	Is there a management system in place to ensure that controlled products are properly labelled and accompanied by a current MSDS or SDS when purchased?	YES NO NO	
	7(a) - A system is required for efficient management of controlled products, Section 37(3) of the Occupational Health and Safety Act.	If no, see box 7(a)	
8.	Is there a procedure in place to ensure that supplier labels and workplace labels are affixed to all containers of controlled products and that controlled products in piping systems and vessels are properly identified?	YES NO	
	8(a) - Legal requirement under the Occupational Health and Safety Act.	If no, see box 8(a)	
9.	Is there a program in place to train workers who are exposed to or are likely to be exposed to hazardous agents? The program must include refresher training and training records must be kept.	YES □ NO □	
	9(a) - Legal requirement under the Occupational Health and Safety Act.	If no, see box 9(a)	
10	Was the program developed in consultation with the Joint Health and Safety Committee (JHSC) or a health and safety representative?	YES NO	
	10(a) - Legal requirement under the Occupational Health and Safety Act.	If no, see box 10(a)	
11	Briefly describe the training program:		
_			
_			

12. Is there a management system in program is reviewed annually, i Health and Safety Committee (J	n consultation with the Joint	YES NO NO
12(a) - Legal requirement under the Occi	upational Health and Safety Act.	If no, see box 12(a)
 13. Is there a management system in working in the area? Specifically Verification that the contract generic aspects of WHMIS 2 Specialized training regarding controlled products present in the worksite, including: notification that the worksite, including: notification in the worksite, including: notification in the worksite in the worksite including: notification in the worksite in	YES NO NO If no, see box 13(a)	
Checklist completed by:		. I.
NAME DATE	TITLE	
References		
FEDERA L		
Hazardous Products Act		
Hazardous Product Regulation		
ONTARIO		
Occupational Health and Safety Act R.R.	O. 1980, Chapter 321	
Workplace Hazardous Materials Informat	ion System Regulation O. Reg. 644/88	